MINUTES OF THE JUVENILE JUSTICE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
April 19, 2018

The meeting of the Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee was called to order at 10:13 AM by Doug Mitchell, Chair at the Raton Convention Center, 901 S. Third Street, Raton, NM 87740.

I. Call to Order – Doug Mitchell, Chair, at 10:13 AM

II. Roll Call for Quorum – Cindy Varela, Grant Manager

A quorum was established with the following nine members present:

Members Present
Alma Cortez
Annelies Cortez
Honorable Alan Kirk
Shelly Currier
Doug Mitchell, Chair
Honorable Angie Schneider
Chad Pfeiffer (proxy for Roshanna Toya)
Nathan Tarbox
Oscar Gonzalez

Members Excused
Craig Sparks
Sasha Pellerin
Oscar Solis
Helen Cheromiah
Tina Harris
Tony Ortiz

Staff in Attendance
Nick Costales, Deputy Director of Field Services
Janet Musolf, Special Programs Manager
Cindy Varela, Grant Manager
Consuelo Garcia, Grant Manager

Guests in Attendance
Erika Meadows, JPO Supervisor
Patrick Walsh, Program Specialist
Lisa Zuhn, JJAB Coordinator, Los Alamos County
Rose Gordon, Program Coordinator, Taos County
Gerry Bachicha, Coordinator, Bernalillo County
Annette Ortiz, Torrance County
Andrea Cunningham, JJAB Coordinator, Los Alamos County
Desa Molina, Coordinator, Luna/Hidalgo Counties
Danette Silva, CHRDA GC facilitator
Danielle Archuleta, Coordinator, City of Raton
Lindé Schuster, Mayor Pro Tem, City of Raton
Jenea Ortiz, Coordinator, Torrance County
Javier Amaro, Coordinator, Las Cruces/Dona Ana County

III. Welcome and Introductions

Ms. Lindé Schuster, City of Raton, Mayor Pro-Tem, welcomed all in attendance and thanked the committee for their work and for choosing to bring their meeting to Raton. She commented that the City of Raton is a community that “believes in each other” and the kind of work the committee does and fully supports the work the committee is doing. She gave some of the background of the City of Raton and the changes that have occurred over time and the
challenges they have faced keeping economic development going. Ms. Schuster also commented on the excellent job that Danielle Archuleta is doing as the Continuum Coordinator, and how fortunate they are to have her working in the community. With that she then introduced Danielle Archuleta.

IV. Presentation by City of Raton Continuum Coordinator and Continuum Board

Ms. Danielle Archuleta, Colfax County Coordinator welcomed the Board members, Continuum Coordinators, the Colfax County local board members and thanked Mayor Pro-Tem Schuster for her welcoming remarks. She thanked the JJAC Board for their support without which their programs would not exist or succeed. She emphasized that their Continuum fully utilizes a team approach and has a wonderful Board with members who have been a part of the Board for many years and are dedicated to working collectively and collaboratively to bring more programming to the students and to serve referral based targeted behavior. Ms. Archuleta went on to describe the programs in Colfax and Union Counties.

V. Approval of Agenda

A motion was made by Judge Kirk, and seconded by Judge Schneider, to approve the agenda for today’s meeting. Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

VI. Approval of January 9, 2018 Minutes

A motion was made by Judge Angie Schneider, and seconded by Alma Cortez, to approve the minutes from the January 9, 2018, meeting. Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

VII. Updates – Written reports and presenters will stand for questions

a. Juvenile Community Corrections Update – Louis Pacias, Grant Manager

In Mr. Pacias’ absence Ms. Janet Musolf asked for questions on that report.

Mr. Mitchell noted that there seems to be a fair amount of money that is not getting spent, either unappropriated or not spent and asked if there was a plan to address that issue.

Ms. Musolf stated that over the course of the last two months, based on the utilization of the service providers, money was taken from the fund balance and increased the providers’ contracts. She stated that she believe most of those were with the providers for signature at this time, if not they have been returned and fully executed. She stated that Mr. Pacias has been visiting all of the service providers assessing what they can do to build relationships, as well as visiting all of the juvenile probation offices, talking with new probation officers who may not be familiar with the program, talking to supervisors and chiefs about the benefits of our Community Corrections Program and building relationships for referrals.

Mr. Mitchell then asked how much of the $380,000.00 dollars projected as a reversion is actually going to be spent.

Ms. Musolf stated she was not quite sure. She commented that there was one service
provider that is unfortunately down with referrals this year and they are continuing to work with them, but the majority of that $380,000.00 is coming from two service providers, the one that is not seeing as many referrals as they had anticipated and the second one has lost some staff and is in the process of rebuilding their staff over the last two quarters.

Mr. Mitchell asked if there had been any effort to recruit programs in underserved or non-served areas.

Ms. Musolf said that yes there is and there has just been completion of the process to get a contractor to serve San Miguel County and soon a point will be reached where there will only be one or two counties not covered by a service provider. Mr. Pacias has been working hard, meeting with different service providers around the state. She noted that currently we are in the third year of an eight-year RFP cycle so they are adding small contracts to build up there areas where there had not been coverage.

Mr. Nick Costales offered a comment about referrals, noting this is an issue seen statewide with so many programs, and with Drug Court undergoing an evaluation by the Legislative Finance Committee with regard to both adult and juvenile programs, one of the things being discovered, just like with juvenile community corrections, the referrals have gone down because of all the great work that has been done, so referrals are at an all-time low. He noted that from the days of the Boys School in Springer with 700-800 youth under commitment and that now the daily population between all three centers, including San Juan is in the 140s. Statewide there are only about 140 youth in commitment and with lower and lower numbers of youth on probation as well, there are just not as many youth to refer to the programs, so it is not a "knock" on the program or the services they provide just the decrease in the number of youth requiring referral. Therefore, when looking at projections at the beginning of the year when you are hoping to serve 50 youth and the referrals are not there, then that is where you see some of these reversions coming from.

Mr. Mitchell asked if Community Corrections was statutorily created, which Mr. Costales confirmed was correct. Mr. Mitchell then asked if there had been any discussion to modify the scope of work or scope of service within the statute that would allow for more pre-adjudication diversion. He noted that there seems to be a pool of money sitting unused when there are communities begging for resources. He stated that he understood that the numbers have come down dramatically and that is certainly commendable, but that as a system there needs to be discussion about how the money previously allocated could be redirected to meet the current needs and not the needs when the statute was created, which was probably in the mid-1980's.

b. Detention & Compliance Monitoring Update – Fernando Corral & Steve Shaw, Compliance Monitors

Mr. Mitchell also noted that the report on Detention and Compliance Monitoring was fairly sparse and asked if CYFD currently has an individual responsible for monitoring and certifying local detention facilities, and if it was the individual named on the report? Ms. Musolf replied that it is the individual on the report and that he is a full-
time CYFD employee.

Mr. Mitchell than asked if the work that Mr. Steve Shaw was doing was limited to Compliance Monitoring with OJJDP. Ms. Musolf replied that that was correct and that there was no report from Mr. Shaw for this meeting as he is traveling and had a mix-up on his calendar, but will get a report to the Board when he returns from his inspections. He is currently inspecting all adult jails and lock-ups for the other three core requirements; the deinstitutionalization of status offenders, jail removal, and sight and sound separation. Mr. Mitchell asked if there were any blending of those two functions or overlap. Ms. Musolf responded that there will be and that the plan is that there will be a contract with Mr. Shaw next year and that due to the closing of many detention centers that Mr. Shaw’s duties will be moving to Mr. Corral, so after Mr. Corral updates and gets all of the detention centers back on track he will then be working with Mr. Shaw to learn that position. Eventually both of those positions will be moved into one position funded by the State. Ms. Musolf advised that Mr. Shaw’s position is currently funded through the Title II Formula Grant and the transition process was begun when it was understood that Title II may no longer be funded. Mr. Mitchell asked if that would then free up any finances that could be allocated to local communities for other services. Ms. Musolf replied that if JJAC continues to receive the federal award, it would free up approximately $50,000.00.

c. JJAC Unit Update – Janet Musolf, Special Programs Manager

- Unit Funds and Data

Ms. Musolf called the Boards attention to the next item in their packets after the reports is the spending for the Committee for the last quarter, in the form of a spreadsheet. Discussion then centered on the amount of funds and allowable uses.

Mr. Mitchell noted his intention to contact the Cabinet Secretary regarding the current regulations governing Board travel and expenses. Mr. Mitchell indicated he would entertain a motion for this, Judge Schneider so moved, Judge Kirk seconded. Mr. Mitchell then asked for further discussion. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

Ms. Musolf called the Boards attention to the report in their packet showing the status of each Continuum, the amount of funding they received in their Grant Agreement, what programs are funded and their spending through each quarter, with a total “year to date youth served” at the end of the report and the percentage expended and match credited.

Mr. Mitchell commented that it appears that there will be a sizeable amount of funding that will not be spent. Ms. Musolf acknowledged that this is indeed the case, but that even though there will be a reversion, it will be lower than in previous years due to the excellent work of the service providers, coordinators and fiscal agents, and the amount of reverted funds has been steadily decreasing each year. Mr. Mitchell congratulated Raton on their number of 79%. Mr. Mitchell then asked for any additional questions. He then acknowledged and thanked all those involved for the hard work put into
providing this information to the Board and how valuable it is for the Board to know how the programs are doing.

- Statewide Juvenile Justice Improvement Initiative (CSG) & House Bill 181

Mr. Costales then gave a summary of what was behind this work. He recapped the timeline of events regarding this, which began about 18 months ago. Mr. Costales went on to explain the work that has been done is very valuable and the Task Force will continue to use the data and analysis that has been done. He commented that it was interesting that the analysis that CSG had done was very similar to what the Legislative Finance Committee had done the previous year after looking at the Juvenile Justice System, and had made the same recommendation, which was to really examine the way funds are being spent to determine whether or not these funds are being used in ways that are making the biggest impact.

Mr. Costales noted that the main sponsor of the Bill, Representative Patricio Ruízoboa, is really interested in connecting to the Board again, and certainly the Continuums, to start getting the dialogue going so as things move forward, whether or not it results in another piece of legislation, that the communication is increased as it was so hard to do this prior to HB181 being drafted.

Judge Kirk made a suggestion that the meetings be open and anyone who feels they are interested or would like to attend be allowed to attend. He noted that there were some phenomenal things going on in the Continuums around the state and they deserve to have their voices heard — their collaboration is vital. Judge Kirk stated he would like to see the formal recommendations. Mr. Costales will be sure the board receives the documents.

Mr. Mitchell encouraged Mr. Costales to convey to the Task Force that any proposed legislation be shared as early as possible prior to the next legislative session in order to allow ample time for examination and discussion and that it be included in the Transition Report to the new Cabinet Secretary, the new Division Director and the new Governor.

Mr. Mitchell then asked for any further questions or comments about the upcoming Legislative session.

Ms. Currier expressed her concern about what is being set up for these younger children who are beginning to engage in some very risky behaviors. Mr. Costales replied that what the research shows is that many of these youth are going to be fine just with connecting with existing services and oftentimes with no intervention at all. He commented that much of the research shows that as these younger individuals get connected to the Juvenile Justice System they often get stuck and stay in the system much longer than necessary, so the recommendation is to not treat them as a delinquent. Mr. Costales commented that the idea is to build the capacity within communities to deal with these needs because these younger individuals are not best served by the Juvenile Justice System, but oftentimes the services are available but the ability for
the youth to access the services is limited, especially with respect to transportation issues. Mr. Mitchell then asked how referrals get made if these youth do not get funneled through the Juvenile Probation System. Mr. Costales replied that on the probation side there is an effort to treat more and more of these youth like FINs cases, which is Families In Need of Support. Any family can go into any probation office statewide and express their need for support with their children before they get into criminal activity, which is not cost, involves no requirements, but just a way to try to get them connected to services. Mr. Costales noted that this is a way to shift the focus away from supervision to support/services.

- Strategic Planning for Title II Formula Grant

Ms. Musolf explained that the Title II Formula Grant comes from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention from the Department of Justice. She noted that it is a lengthy application for $400,000.00. She reported that her most updated draft of the application was sent out the day before, 04/18/18, and is now currently at 404 pages, and is not finished. She gave a brief update explaining that the new federal administration had decided to change the format and not only required more data, but also required the data to be on the county level, which takes up the bulk of the application.

Ms. Musolf commented that there were a couple of things she needed to call the Board’s attention to. There are certain requirements that the Board needs to meet. She then noted that the Board commonly goes by JJAC, but in the federal requirements this Board is referred to as the State Advisory Group, so wherever you see State Advisory Group or SAG, that is this Board. The presented documents were then reviewed.

Mr. Mitchell asked if there were any comments or questions. Mr. Mitchell noted that he had read the portion presented and perused the charts and found it interesting that males are being referred 2:1 to females and that the number of referrals to the probation office were really encouraging in the significant decline, demonstrating the success of the work of the Continuum Boards in the communities.

Judge Kirk noted the amount of funding received versus the cost of administering that funding and at what point does it become cost prohibitive to pursue this funding. He also commented that the research included in this application was really eye-opening and helped put a lot of the work within the State into perspective and how much he appreciated the work that was put into compiling all of this. Judge Kirk reiterated a need for educating and training the Board as well as Coordinators concerning these areas to be included in the application as a need. Ms. Musolf reported that when the survey came back there had been some recommendations concerning this and the recommendations were looked at with respect to what fell within the federal application guidelines and that those concerns not required as part of the application would be added to the overall Special Programs Unit Strategic Plan moving forward.
Mr. Mitchell asked for further comments or concerns. Mr. Mitchell asked Ms. Musolf if the motion to be made would be for approval of the draft of the application. Ms. Musolf responded that the motion should be for approval of the draft and the content presented in order to submit it in the application.

Mr. Mitchell stated the chair would now entertain such a motion. Mr. Mitchell then moved to approve the Title II Application for submission to the Department of Justice. He then asked for a second. Alma Cortez seconded the motion. Motion passed by unanimous voice vote. Mr. Mitchell then thanked Ms. Musolf and her staff for all the hard work that had been put into preparing the application and supportive data.

d. Continuum Coordinator Update – Coordinator Representative

Javier Amaro, Continuum Coordinator for the Juvenile Citation Program and also for Las Cruces/Dona Ana County, reported that the Coordinators met the day before on May 18, 2018, here in Raton. He thanked Ms. Archuleta for being a great host. He listed the Coordinators who participated and described their meeting as very productive and that other Coordinators participated via conference call.

The Coordinators composed a general statement as to their general goal as Continuum Coordinators and that was to improve their effectiveness and efficiency of their programs to the youth and families, the customer that we serve. The Coordinators are attempting to assess the situation of each Continuum and what needs should be addressed to make them stronger and more successful. They concluded that one of the ways to accomplish this was to share their Continuum data, in addition to strategic plans, the MOUs, by-laws, etc., which all come into play and by sharing could be strengthened. Another area of discussion was to improve training, which is very important to address the turnover and help the new Coordinators who are coming in in order to maintain that high level of service within the Continuums. Their ultimate goal then is to reach an optimum performance level to better address the needs of the customer base which is the youth and their families. Mr. Amaro then asked Ms. Gerry Bachicha, Coordinator from Bernalillo County, if she had anything further to share. Ms. Bachicha shared that the Coordinators have effectively become a Collective and with their collective voice they are going to lift each other up. She also mentioned that some of the Coordinators who are able to actually talk to legislators will touch base with Representative Ruileoba and will be asking him to be on a call with the Continuum Coordinators going forward to address that need without having to go to every single Continuum in the state. She also stated that the Coordinators will be taking a look at the documents that have been required of them in order to give the JJAC Staff consistent documentation from the Coordinators and also asking for consistent directions back, really evening out the workload so there are not so many question coming at the staff from everyone, in essence a more orchestrated effort by the Coordinators to have their questions answered as a group. She also noted that the Continuums have grown into rural sites over the past six years or so and they knew this would present problems with work force and a lot of different skill sets, having to “duct tape” things together, which they have all had to do from time to time, including things like transportation, but as the Continuums have grown into the rural areas the challenges have become much, much larger. She reported that the Coordinators are hoping that as a collective they can
bring that knowledge to the table for the rural Coordinators as well as resources from Coordinators with more experience and also from the more urban areas to help the rural Coordinators overcome some of the challenges they are facing in their areas of work.

Judge Kirk then suggested a letter be drafted to recognize and commend the work of the Continuums and their accomplishments and expounded on all of the reasons for this, in particular the fact that “the numbers are going down”. He asked for advice from the Chair regarding a motion and Mr. Mitchell agreed that that would be in order. Judge Kirk then presented the motion for JJAC to draft a letter to acknowledge the accomplishments and their appreciation for the efforts of the Continuums. Mr. Mitchell asked if those would be addressed to the Board Chairs. Judge Kirk suggested that they could or they could be sent to the Continuum Coordinators for presentation to their Continuum Board. Ms. Currier seconded the motion. Mr. Mitchell asked for discussion and there was none. Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

VIII. Board Sub-Committee Reports

a. Youth Subcommittee – Annelies Cortez, Chair

Ms. Cortez reported that the Youth Subcommittee is in the process of reviewing and finalizing their charter as well as the application for new members and once that is completed it will be presented to the Board for approval. She also noted that as Ms. Musolf had mentioned they are working with Cassidy from CCAS in regard to recruitment and taking a look at Youth Subcommittees in other states to what their purposes and goals are to see if those could be implemented in the New Mexico Youth Subcommittee, and she should have more information on that available at the next meeting. She noted that Mr. Oscar Gonzalez had joined the Youth Subcommittee and thanked him for that. She then asked Mr. Gonzalez if he had anything to add.

b. DMC/JDAI Subcommittee – Chair vacant

i. Report submitted by Patti Vowell, JDAI Coordinator and Steve Brooks, DMC Coordinator

Mr. Mitchell asked if there were any questions related to the written report. Mr. Mitchell commented that he is the JJAC representative on the JDAI implementation team and they are moving forward with going into several other counties now, looking at doing site assessments in Hidalgo, Luna, Sandoval, Cibola and Valencia counties, in addition to Bernalillo and San Juan, so progress is being made there. He also noted that their meeting schedule has changed from only once every two months to a meeting every month for the implementation team, working in conjunction with the local courts, etc., to move things forward. Mr. Mitchell asked if anyone had further questions.

ii. Elect new chair for the DMC/JDAI Subcommittee
Ms. Musolf noted that Judge Romero announced his resignation at the last JJAC meeting. Mr. Mitchell then asked if that absolutely had to be accomplished at today’s meeting to which Ms. Musolf responded it did not. Mr. Mitchell commented that he did not want to put anyone on the spot and since the entire Board was not present at today’s meeting he would rather defer action on selecting a new chair until the next meeting. Mr. Mitchell went on to say that the work is progressing through the efforts of Ms. Vowell and Mr. Brooks, with or without a chair, so there is no overt concern regarding the vacant chair position at this time.

c. Grant Subcommittee – Oscar Solis, Chair

Mr. Mitchell noted that Mr. Solis was unable to attend today’s meeting but he met with Mr. Solis on Tuesday of this week and Mr. Mitchell commented that he believed Mr. Solis will be a very good chairperson, in part because of the work Mr. Solis already does with Special Olympics. Mr. Mitchell went on to note that this work affords Mr. Solis with the opportunity to go to a lot of the communities, and as he does that he has been intentionally trying to reach out to the local Continuum Coordinators, Boards, etc., and to inform himself, so he has taken a lot of initiative to educate himself about the work and how he can best contribute.

d. Executive Subcommittee – Doug Mitchell, Chair

Continuum Boards – Mr. Mitchell reported that a question had come up at the JDAI Leadership meeting and asked Ms. Musolf to give the details. Ms. Musolf reported that the discussion revolved around the situation where Eddy County has been trying to get a Continuum Board established for quite a while and then looking for funding for the work in that County. She stated that a few years ago they got as far as putting together an application and getting it all the way up to the deadline and they did not have a fiscal agent, so they have been doing a lot of work to get a fiscal agent. She also noted that they have two active Boards so the question came up as to whether each Board apply for funding, but the current requirements are one Board per county. She went on to state that one Board can do work in multiple counties, but the requirement is that there can only be one Board per county mainly because the statutory requirements of Board members would essentially mean having the same people on both Boards within one county. Ms. Musolf stated that a question had been posed by Justice Barbara Vigil as to the exploration of more than one Board per county. Could that requirement be changed? Staff had decided to bring that question to the Board meeting for discussion.

After some discussion as to the pros and cons of multiple boards in one county, Mr. Mitchell stated that he would then take back to the Leadership Committee that the consensus of the Board is to stay with the existing format and encourage work toward every county having their own Board.

JJAC Board Representation – Mr. Mitchell commented that efforts to recruit are continuing and that he personally has tried to recruit new members. He noted that he used to know a lot of people around the State but that is no longer the case, so he asked the Board members present to continue to think about potential Board Members who could make the commitment to do this work and if there are individuals
who come to mind to get those people in touch with Ms. Musolf, so she can lay out the specific expectations in terms of travel, budget limitations, etc., so they will have a better idea of what is involved. Mr. Mitchell did note that there is one candidate in process at the Governor’s Office now, and that there are probably two more positions that need to be filled and Ms. Musolf confirmed that number. Ms. Musolf stated there is one other requirement set up as part of the Juvenile Justice Delinquency and Prevention Act, and that is that all Board members meet one of the eight criteria that have been set up, which was included in the e-mail and falls just below the current Board Roster, and can also be found on the website what those criteria are. She also noted that though Board Members do serve at the pleasure of the Governor that is not the only requirement and there are specific areas of expertise that are required to be filled. Mr. Mitchell then encouraged the Board to continue to “beat the bushes a little bit”, and asked the Youth Board members to also participate in this process, adding that there needs to be representation from across the State.

Meeting frequency – Mr. Mitchell commented that it would probably not be productive to discuss this today as a number of Board members are absent, but he has asked Ms. Musolf to send out a survey monkey to everyone on the Board asking several questions; 1) Would they like to go to bi-monthly meetings as opposed to quarterly or stay with quarterly? What is their preference? 2) Are there days of the week that are better, where you are less likely to have a schedule conflict? 3) Would the Board member be interested in being a Chair of one of the vacant subcommittees? With the results of this survey then hopefully there could be an informed discussion at the next meeting, whether or not all Board members are present, but hopefully having responded to the survey. Mr. Mitchell asked if that sounded reasonable to the rest of the Board and if so then that survey will be sent out. Mr. Mitchell asked for comments or concerns regarding this and none were offered.

Election of Vice-Chair – Ms. Musolf gave the requirement for the Vice-Chair, that they cannot be either a full-time government employee or an elected official. Mr. Mitchell then asked who met that qualification and there were very few. Ms. Musolf asked if there were any volunteers. Mr. Mitchell commented that since he has been on the Board since 2012 he has not missed a meeting so the chances of the Vice-Chair having to chair a meeting are fairly slim. Ms. Currier said if she did not have to Chair a meeting she would volunteer. Mr. Mitchell then presented Ms. Currier as the nominee for Vice-Chair of the JJAC Board. Judge Kirk seconded the nomination. Ms. Currier’s election was confirmed by unanimous voice vote.

IX. Public Comment

Judge Kirk, though a member of the Board and not truly considered part of the public in this sense, related that he and two others present went to California three weeks ago to the NCJ/SCJ conference and that it was the most informative conference he had ever been to. He commented that the breakout groups were all about local community programs and data collection. They had an opportunity to connect with OJJDP staff and the Director. He noted that it was rather expensive, but there were service providers there who provided huge links of programs in Colorado, Utah and Texas, states around us that would take youth. He said he thought it was a phenomenal conference and that the Annual Conference is in Denver on
July 22, 2018, if anyone would be interested in going. He stated that you do not have to be a member of the JJAC Board to attend, however, there is a discount for Board members. He stated that it was eye-opening to see what is going on in other states. He noted that the Power Point presentations from that conference were also available on their website and that this conference is a great place to gather information. Mr. Mitchell also noted that if anyone was interested in being a presenter at the conference that they should reach out to the program planners. Judge Schneider mentioned that there are also scholarships available to attend the conference.

Ms. Gerry Bachicha noted that for the Youth Members that the Coalition for Juvenile Justice developed an orientation manual for youth serving on the State Advisory Group Boards, which was a couple of years ago, and that Bernalillo County uses it to orient the youth on their Continuum Board and wanted to pass that information on to the Youth Members of the JJAC Board. Ms. Annelies Cortez thanked her for that information and Ms. Bachicha offered to send her the information.

Ms. Bachicha then remarked that if someone wanted to get information from outside of New Mexico but did not have the finances to attend a conference that New Mexico is a JDAl Site State, going State to Scale, and that JDAl has a wonderful website which is called JDAlConnect.org., and on that website there is constant communication of like-minded people like this Board from all across the country, and youth, that there is a ton of stuff on the website for youth. She stated that there are is also training available on the site which is really good and are web-based, covering all a number of topics such as pre-disposition, race equity for the DMC, etc. She encouraged everyone to be lifetime learners and to take advantage this resource. Mr. Costales added that one of the great things that can be done on the website is to pose a question and there will be responses from people who do this work all across the country and even beyond the United States, with responses of what has been tried, what worked well, things to watch for, etc., and it is a great sounding board to get feedback from people doing the same work all over the country.

X. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn was made by Judge Schneider and seconded by Ms. Currier. Motion passed by unanimous voice vote. Meeting was adjourned at 2:55 PM.

Mr. Mitchell then thanked Ms. Danielle Archuleta again for hosting the meeting. Mr. Archuleta thanked the Board and guests for attending and expressed her appreciation for the work the Board and other Coordinators and attendees are doing.

The next proposed meeting to be held on Thursday, July 19, 2018 in Otero County

Minutes Approved by the JJAC Committee on July 19, 2018:

Doug Mitchell, Chair